Steven Wayne Bonilla P.O. Box J-48500 Son Quentin, CA 94964



United States District Court northern District of California

VC

Steven Wayne Bonilla,
Plaintyf,
Judge gronne Yonzoles
Rogers, District attorney,
Nancy O'Malley, Prosecutor
Jonathan Goodfellow, and
attorney David anthony,
Defendants,

Case Mg. 17 7245
- Expedited Review Requested

Reporting the Crime of Conspiracy to Obstruct and Impede the Administration of Jusice and Law as mandated by 18 USC & 04

Bire i Case No. C-08-047/ 4GR

The Prosecutor, Sonathon Goodfellow, fabricated a frewdulent, falsified, forged subpoena to fraulently conceal the unlawful procurement of my phone records. The signature on the fake federal grand jury subpoena for telephone records for [446-3850] of AVSA Robert D. Ward is a photocopy taken from an earlier signing. Which is the reason the could not be produced under a courtorder,

Case No C-0 2-0636 MHP. It is why the prosecution could not prove that it was ever served on the telephone company. Thus, the record impeaches itself, on its face and pursuant to Code of civil Procedure \$1916 it mandates the court to expunge the fraudulant record. Which Judge yourne Jongalez Rogers has failed or refused to do by refusing to accept the

Petitioners/my petitions.
District attorney hancy O'malley has refused and failed to disclose how the phone records were procured by the

prosecution kunder a Renal Code \$ 1054,9 Post-conviction discovery request, because it would show that Prosecutor Jonathan Goodfellow committed fraud and collesion to

procure my illegal conviction. Even under a Public Records

act Request, she would not disclose what she has a duty to disclose, so she could aid in the Conspiracy to

obstruct and impede the administration of Justice to

execute-murder me, an innocent man.

counsel David anthony, who knows that the subpoend is a forgery but has failed or refused to raise the claim that the conviction was prouved by extrinsic fraud. Even after numerous request to do so. His nonfeasance / misprison to do his duty is proof that he is aiding in the prosecution's conspiracy to execute mender me. Even when I have tried to file motions under ineffective assistance assistance of counsel, Judge yourne Gonzalez Rogers simply returned them without being filed even though she claimed in her order denying any further \*Obviously not by a subpoend that never existed

acceptance of my motions would only be allowed if it concerned ineffective assistance of counsel. She simply lied in her order and has refused to file my ineffective assistance of courses motions for the some reason she has refused failed to prove that the courtever acquired subject matter jurisdiction. That's because she knows that the Subpoena is a forgery and therefore, could not have been legally admitted into evidence to allow the fruit there of to be admissible. Even a moran can see that the signature is a photocopy when compared to the signature of AUSA Robert D. Ward on the October 29,1987 federal grand jury subpoena. Her refusatto accept motions of ineffective assistance of counsel; her refusual/faillire to prove that the Courtever acquired subject matter jurisdiction, her refusal to accept that the subpainais a forgery, her refusal to accept that the prosecution used extrensic fraud and only through from and collegeon was the conviction procured, which would require her to have to expunge the record is all proof that she is impeding and obstructing the administration of Justice and Law because she is aiding in the prosecution's conspiracy to execute-murder me, an innocent man, for their benefits. If I am wrong it can be simply prown by showing that the federal grand jury subpoena fortelephone records (446-3850) was admitted into evidence and produce the original, authoritic subpoena. Which would require an original signature; which is impossible to do. Shus, it is impossible

forangoneto t my past 30 years of incarceration was not prisonment/enslavement ers repeatedly notefred and Ime h onspiracy to Judge yonne Yonzalez Rogers a the of law, pursuant to 18 Rantos 9 11 he judge had to do is to order the 12 ttorney, to provett 13 14 to perform this ministeria 15 nof Justice and obs K. By transferring the ca 18 19 never acquired jurisdict 20 with the prosecut 21 is against 22 759 F. 2d 809 23 byect matter surisdiction 24 25 26 its face pursuant to 1350 vowing ceresty jurisdiction

4.

1	Judicial immunity only applies when there is jurisdiction
2	Soft subject and person, a judge who acts in clear and
3	complete absence of jurisdiction loves his immunity pursuant
4	to [633 F, 201847]. Therefore, all judges who have failed to do
5	their ministerial duty are notonly gulty of conspiracy
6	to obstruct and impede administration of Justice have
7	done it without Judicial Immunity " and get away with
8	it only because the other Judges allow it by also no alecting
10	to do their menisterial duty owed to the Potitiemer to compel
12	into evidence and it is not a forgery, Otherwise, the appeal process is nothing more than a criminal enterprise to enslave innocent citizens for financial gain, job security, Political acceptance and carrier advancement.  Relief Songht
13	process is nothing more than a criminal enterprise to
14	enslave innocent citizens for financial gain, job
15	sectively foldical acceptance and carler advancement.
16	Relief Songlot
18	was admitted into evidence and that it is not a forgery.
19	Otherwise, it requires the record to be expunded and
20	2010 M. 1. I de l'innécent man, rellasod from les
21	softwar of palso imprisonment planery.
22	I declare under consect de coins the sette des
23	is true and conson to us and it to 28 USCE 1746
24	Dated! norvember 28 20017
25	Respect by the Submitted
26	Attante de ma Bonella
27	A more of the second of the se
28	

Document 1 OUSSHIPS U.S. District Conx kland, CA 946/21 2004 # Youth Services of the services of th ZIP 94964 \$ 000.67 001397520 NOV 29 2017 PITNEY BOWES

7-cv-07245-VC

Filed 12/21/17

